2

CHAPMAN & GLUCKSMAN

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 11900 W. OLYMPIC BOULEVARD SUITE 800 P.O. Box 64704 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90064-0704 (310) 207-7722

In the Matter of the Accusation

3

4

5 Attorneys for Respondent, CHARLES R. LEWIS

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NO. AC-93-43

STIPULATION, DECISION,

Against: AND ORDER CHARLES R. LEWIS 12410 Burbank Blvd., Suite 100 North Hollywood, CA 91607 Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 6638 Respondent.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled matter as follows:

BEFORE THE

BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

- 1. At the time of executing and filing the Accusation in the above matter, complainant, Carol Sigmann, was the Executive Officer of the Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter "Board") and filed the said Accusation in the above matter solely in her official capacity and not otherwise.
- 2. Respondent has received and read the Accusation as amended and heretofore filed in case number Ac-93-43 currently pending before the Board. A copy of said Accusation is attached, marked Annex A, and is incorporated herein by this reference.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Respondent has been informed of his right to have an attorney represent him with respect to the content and effect of this stipulation.
- Respondent is aware of his right to a full and complete hearing on the charge(s) and allegation(s) contained in the Accusation, his right to reconsideration, appeal, and all other rights which may be afforded him by the California Administrative Procedures Act in connection with this Accusation. Respondent California rights under the receipt of his acknowledges Administrative Procedures Act. For purposes of this stipulation, Respondent freely and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing, his right to reconsideration, to appeal, and to any and all rights afforded to him by the California Administrative Procedures Act or any other law governing Accusation No. AC-93-43.
- 5. The characterization of law and fact, as set forth herein, are made solely for purposes of settlement between the Board and Respondent, and are null and void for any proceeding except as between the Board and Respondent. Respondent shall be deemed to admit the truth of all the allegations contained in the Accusation amended and pending against him upon any request for reinstatement of his license. Respondent further admits that he is subject to discipline under Business and Professions Code Section 5100(f) alleged in Accusation No. 93-43 as amended for engaging in the practice of public accountancy and in an other business which arguably impaired his independence and objectivity.
- Based on the foregoing admissions, stipulations, and 6. recitals, it is agreed that the Board may issue the following decision and order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 6638, issued March 21, 1957, to Charles R. Lewis, is hereby revoked. However, said revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation until December 31, 1995, on the following terms and conditions:

- (1) Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules relating to the practice of public accountancy in the State of California.
- (2) Respondent shall file written quarterly reports on form(s) provided by the Board no later than 10 days following the close of each quarter.
- (3) For purposes of paragraph "2," the word "file" shall mean actual receipt by or delivery to the Board at its regular place of business or other location(s) as may be designated by the Board.
 - (4) Respondent shall comply with all citations.
- (5) The Respondent shall make personal appearances before the Administrative Review Committee of the Board. Respondent shall receive reasonable notification of the time and the location of Committee meeting(s). The Respondent shall not be excused from attendance at the designated meeting(s) of the Committee unless excused in writing by the Board or its authorized employee or agent.
- (6) The Respondent shall cooperate fully with the Board and any of its agents or employees in their supervision and investigation of his compliance with the terms and conditions of his probation, including the Board's Probation surveillance Compliance Program.

- (7) For purposes of paragraph "6," Respondent's accounting practice is subject to inspection by the Board's authorized personnel, agents, or employees, without advance notification to the respondent.
- (8) During the period of probation, if Respondent should leave California to reside or practice outside the State, the Respondent must notify the Board in writing of the dates of departure and return. Periods of residency or practice outside the State shall apply towards reduction of the probationary period.
- (9) If the Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation, and carry out the disciplinary order which has been stayed.
- (10) The Respondent shall be subject to, and shall permit, a general review of the Respondent's professional practice. Such review shall be conducted by the Board whenever designated by the Administrative Committee, provided notification of review is accomplished in a timely manner.
- (11) During the period of probation, Respondent shall be prohibited from selling or marketing any investment programs or products whether offered by the Respondent or a third party. Furthermore, Respondent shall be prohibited from engaging in any type of investment counseling or working for a company or organization that sells or otherwise promotes investments while licensed as a California CPA.
- (12) Respondent shall be precluded from accepting, disbursing, or otherwise handling client funds.

- (13) Respondent shall provide all his new and existing clients with written notice concerning the status of his CPA-license including clear language that he will not be a CPA after December 31, 1995. Said notification shall be signed by all of the Respondent's clients with the original copy retained by the Respondent. The signed copy of the client notification letter shall be made available to the Board personnel upon request.
- (14) For purposes of paragraph "13," the content of the client notification letter shall be subject to approval by the Board. No later than 15 days from the date this stipulation is adopted by the Board, Respondent shall submit a proposed client notification letter to the Board for its approval.
- (15) Respondent shall be prohibited from accepting or performing audit engagement(s) during the period of probation. In the event Respondent has pending audit engagement(s), he shall make appropriate arrangements with his audit client(s) to transfer the engagement(s) to another CPA
- (16) Upon the termination of the probationary period, certified public accountant certificate number 6638 issued to Charles R. Lewis shall be revoked without further notice to the Respondent and/or his legal representatives.
- (17) Upon the termination of the probationary period, Respondent shall surrender to the Board at its offices in Sacramento, California his CPa certificate together with all other indicia of licensure as a California certified public accountant.
 - (18) During the period of probation, Respondent shall be

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

prohibited from entering into business ventures of any kind with his accounting clients including loaning money or borrowing money from accounting clients. Client consent shall not relieve Respondent from responsibility under this paragraph.

DATED: Il/I/

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California

Bv:

HOOMAN ROWSHAN

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

CHALRES R. LEWIS

Respondent

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

RANDALL J. DEAN

Attorney for Respondent

The Board of Accountancy hereby adopts the foregoing Stipulation as its Decision and Order in this matter. This Decision and Order is effective on November 3 , 1994.

THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

- 11		
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	HOOMAN ROWSHAN,	
3	Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, California 90013	
4	Telephone: (213) 897-2580	
5	Attorneys for Complainant	
6		
7		
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. 93-43 Against:	
12	CHARLES R. LEWIS) OAH NO. L-07067	
13	12410 Burbank Blvd., Ste. 100) North Hollywood, CA 91606) AMENDED ACCUSATION	
14)	
15	Respondent.)	
16		
17	The original Accusation pending before the Board of	
18	Accountancy is hereby amended as follows:	
19	1. Paragraph "4" is amended to read:	
20	"(c) fraud in the practice of public	
21	accountancy [as defined in Business and Professions Code	
22	section 5051(h)]."	
23	2. Paragraph "7" is amended to read:	
24	Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to	
25	Business and Professions Code section 5100, for	
26	unprofessional conduct within the scope of Business and	
27	Professions code sections 5100(c), 5100(f), and 5100(h),	

	il i
1	for fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, and willful
2	violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations
3	sections 57 and 60 by reason of the following
4	facts
5	DATED: 8/30/94
6	DANIEL E. LUNGREN
7	Attorney General
8	1/1
9	BY HOOMAN ROWSHAN
10	Deputy Attorney General
11	Attorneys For Complainant Carol B. Sigmann, Executive Officer
12	Board of Accountancy State of California
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

	_
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California
2	HOOMAN ROWSHAN, Deputy Attorney General
3	300 South Spring Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, CA 90013
4	Telephone: (213) 897-2574
5	Attorneys for Petitioner
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. AC-93-43
12	Against:) ACCUSATION
13	CHARLES R. LEWIS
14	12410 Burbank Blvd. Ste. 100) North Hollywood, CA 91607)
15	Certified Public Accountant) Certificate No. CPA 6638)
16	Respondent.
17	/
18	
19	Complainant, Carol Sigman, for cause for discipline
20	alleges:
21	1. She is the Executive Officer of the Board of
22	Accountancy (hereinafter referred to as the board) of the
23	Department of Consumer Affairs of the State of California and
24	brings this accusation solely in her official capacity.
25	2. On March 21, 1957, the board issued to Charles R.
26	Lewis, (hereinafter respondent) certified public accountant
27	certificate number CPA 6638 for the practice of public accountancy.
	1.

- 3. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5100 the board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew the permit or certificate of a certified public accountant, or may censure the holder of a permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct.
- 4. Business and Professions Code section 5100 provides in pertinent part that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to:
 - (f) Willful violation of any provision of [the California Accountancy Act] or any rule or regulation promulgated by the board.
 - (h) ... Breach of fiduciary responsibility of any
 kind...
- 5. Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 57 provides that a licensee of the board shall not concurrently engage in the practice of public accountancy and in any other business or occupation which impairs the licensee's independence, objectivity, or creates a conflict of interest in rendering professional services.
- 6. Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 60 provides that a licensee of the board shall not engage in conduct which constitutes fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind.
- 7. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 5100, for unprofessional conduct within the scope of Business and Professions Code sections

5100(f) and 5100(h), for breach of fiduciary duty, willful violation of Title 16, California Code of Regulations sections 57 and 60, conflict of interest, and breach of fiduciary responsibility, by reason of the following facts:

a. L & H Finance, Inc., is a California Corporation licensed to do business in this state including the investment of money in return for guaranteed interest notes.

1.3

b. Respondent, Charles R. Lewis, is the president and majority stockholder of L & H Finance, Inc. In his capacity as the president of L & H Finance, Inc., and in his capacity as an individual, respondent guaranteed a number of documents entitled "Note Straight" which were promissory notes for amounts invested by his accounting clients in L & H Finance, Inc., to be paid "on demand" at variable interest rates.

c. Based on their relationship with the respondent as their accountant and financial advisor, and at the suggestion of the respondent, Betty and Lynn Schubert invested substantial sums of money with L & H Finance, Inc., in exchange for a " Note Straight" executed by L & H Finance, Inc., and guaranteed by the respondent in his individual

capacity.

- d. Based on her relationship with the respondent as her accountant and financial advisor, and at the suggestion of the respondent, Sandra Prager invested substantial sums of money in L & H Finance, Inc., in exchange for a "Note Straight" executed by L & H Finance, Inc., and guaranteed by the respondent in his individual capacity.
- e. Based on her relationship with the respondent as her accountant and financial advisor, and at the suggestion of the respondent, Barbara Salkin invested substantial sums of money in L & H Finance, Inc., in exchange for a "Note Straight" executed by L & H Finance, Inc., and guaranteed by the respondent in his individual capacity.
- f. Based on his relationship with the respondent as his accountant and financial advisor, and at the suggestion of the respondent, Marc Vigneron, invested substantial sums of money in L & H Finance, Inc., in exchange for a "Note Straight" executed by L & H finance, Inc., and guaranteed by the respondent in his individual capacity.
 - 8. By virtue of establishing a relationship as an accountant and financial advisor, respondent created

. •		
•		
1		a fiduciary relationship between himself and his
2		accounting clients referred to in subparagraphs "a"
3		through "f". Respondent breached his fiduciary duty
4		to his accounting client by his failure to:
5		
6	(a)	Honestly and accurately represent the nature of
7		the investment in L & H Finance, Inc., ;
8		
9	(b)	Honestly and accurately disclose the investment
10		risks associated with L & H Finance, Inc.,;
11		
12	(c)	Honestly and accurately disclose the financial
13,	·	condition of L & H Finance, Inc. to each investor
14		before investors' funds were accepted;
15		
16	(d)	Properly invest investors' funds in such a manner
17		that sufficient funds would be available "on demand"
18		along with the accrued interest as represented;
19		
20	(e)	Provide an accurate accounting of principal invested
21		and accrued interest for each investor account;
22		
23	(f)	Act with the due standard of care of an accountant
24		and investment advisor in giving professional advice
25		to his accounting clients named in this accusation;
26		
27	(g)	Immediately and expeditiously pay all principal and
		5.

•		
1		interest demanded by the investors according to the
2		terms of the notes executed and guaranteed by
3		respondent's company, L & H Finance, Inc., and
4	·	respondent himself in his individual capacity;
5		
6	(h)	Properly document each loan transaction and maintain
7		accurate file(s) on each of the firm's debtors;
8		
9	(i)	Collect and maintain loan documentation(s) necessary
10		to effectuated the collection of non performing
11		loans;
12		
13	(i)	Establish uniform lending and credit criteria to
14		be followed in evaluating the credit worthiness of
15		the potential borrowers;
16		
17	(k)	Establish an independent review procedure of loan
18		applications as part of the loan approval process;
19		and
20		
21	(L)	Refrain from lending funds to relatives and
22		organization in which he had an interest.
23		
24	9.	Each act as alleged in subparagraphs "a" through
25	"L" is a breac	h of fiduciary duty within the scope of Business and
26	Professions Co	ode section 5100 (h), and the California Code of
27	regulations, T	itle 16, section 60.
		6.

Business and Professions Code section 5107 provides 1 10. that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding 2 before the Board, the executive officer of the Board may request 3 the administrative law judge to direct the certificate holder found 5 to have violated Business and Professions Code section 5100 to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs 6 7 of the investigation and prosecution of the matter, including 8 attorneys' fees. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN respondent that pursuant to 9 Business and Professions Code section 5107, Complainant hereby 10 requests the administrative judge to direct respondent if found in 11 violation, to pay to the board the reasonable costs of the 12 investigation and prosecution of this matter. 13 WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held and 14 that the board makes its order: 15 1. 16

- Revoking or suspending Certified Public Accountant certificate number CPA 6638, issued to Charles R. Lewis;
- Taking such other and further action as the board 2. deems proper.

Dated and Filed:

HR:kq

Lewis

September 14,1993

Executive Officer

Board of Accountancy,

State of California

Complainant

24

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

1 2 3 4	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California CALVIN W. TORRANCE Deputy Attorney General 300 S. Spring Street, Floor 10 North Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 346-2560
5	Attorneys for Complainant
6	
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. AC-90-14 Against:
11) DISMISSAL WITH CHARLES LEWIS) PREJUDICE
12	12410 Burbank Blvd.) North Hollywood, CA 91607)
13	C.P.A. Certificate No.)
14	EH 6638,
15	Respondent.)
16	
17	The above entitled accusation is hereby dismissed with
18	prejudice against respondent Charles Lewis.
19	Dated: October 18, 1991.
20	
21	
22	aul Signam
23	CAROL SIGMANN Executive Officer
24	Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs
25	State of California
26	Complainant
27	,

1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California GLORIA A. BARRIOS,		
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
4	3580 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 800 Los Angeles, California 90010		
5	Telephone: (213) 736-7511		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	BEFORE THE BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY		
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	In the Matter of the Accusation) NO. AC-90-14		
11	Against:		
12	CHARLES R. LEWIS AND) A C C U S A T I O N HOLLANDER, FREEDMAN, HARRISON)		
13	& FINE,) 8383 Wilshire Boulevard)		
14	Beverly Hills, California 90211) Suite 610		
15	Certificate No. EH 6638,		
16	Certificate No. PAR 4297,)		
17	Respondents.)		
18			
19	The Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES		
21	1. Complainant, Carol Sigmann, is the Executive		
22	Officer of the California State Board of Accountancy (hereinafter		
23	the "Board") and brings this accusation solely in her official		
24	capacity.		
25	2. On or about March 21, 1957, Certificate No. EH		
26	6638 (Certified Public Accountant) was issued to Charles R. Lewis		
27	(hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times relevant herein to		

the charges herein brought, said license has been in full force and effect.

- 3. On or about August 17, 1981, Certificate No. PAR 4297 was issued by the Board to Hollander, Harrison & Fine (hereinafter "respondent"), and at all times relevant herein to the charges herein brought, said license has been in full force and effect.
- 4. On or about October 13, 1983, respondent's name changed to Hollander, Freedman, Harrison & Fine as reflected by the Board.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This accusation is brought under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code"):
- may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate issued by the Board, or may censure the holder of any such permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - (c) Dishonesty, fraud, or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of the bookkeeping operations described in Section 5052.
- 7. Section 5101 of the Code provides that after notice and hearing the Board may revoke the registration and permit to practice of a partnership if at any time it does not have all the qualifications prescribed by the section of this

chapter under which it qualified for registration. After notice and hearing the Board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew the permit to practice of a partnership or may censure the holder of such permit for any of the causes enumerated in Section 5100.

1.4

1.7

- 8. Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations,
 Rule 58 provides that in all cases wherein an accountant's name
 is associated with financial information, the report should
 contain a clear-cut indication of the character of the
 accountant's association and the degree of responsibility the
 accountant is taking.
- 9. Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations,
 Rule 58.3 (c) provides that review of financial statements is the
 performance of inquiry and analytical procedures that provide the
 accountant with a reasonable basis for expressing limited
 assurance that there are no material modifications that should be
 made to the statements in order for them to be in conformity with
 generally accepted accounting principles or, if applicable, with
 another comprehensive basis of accounting.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 10. Respondents Charles R. Lewis and Hollander,
 Freedman, Harrison & Fine are subject to disciplinary action
 under section 5100 of the Code in that they engaged in
 unprofessional conduct in the preparation of financial statements
 of a client. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. On or about 1977, Lewis, Hollander & Company was engaged as accountants for Wilcour Food Products, Inc. (Wilcour).

- B. On or about August, 1979, Daniel Harrison joined the firm as partner without a name change.
- C. On or about January, 1980, respondent Charles R. Lewis failed to review the financial statements of Wilcour dated January 26, 1980.
- D. On or about May, 1980, respondent partner Charles R. Lewis withdrew from the firm, Lewis, Hollander & Company. The firm, Lewis, Hollander & Company became Hollander, Harrison & Company which is now respondent Hollander, Freedman, Harrison & Fine.
- E. Respondents Charles R. Lewis and Hollander, Freedman, Harrison & Fine's gross negligence in their preparation of the financial statements of Wilcour led to errors consisting of understatements of accounts payable and purchases in the approximate amount of \$240,000.00 and the overstatement of inventory in the approximate amount of \$112,000.00.
- F. Respondents departed from generally accepted auditing standards in the audit of Wilcour's financial statements by the following:
 - Respondents did not obtain competent evidence to support the basis for their conclusion that accounts payable were fairly stated as to January 26, 1980.
 - Respondents did not complete significant steps in their audit program.
 - 3. Respondents did not comply with an auditing

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

- 13. Respondents Charles R. Lewis and Hollander,
 Freedman, Harrison & Fine are subject to disciplinary action
 under Rule 58 in that their Accountants' Reports in connection
 with financial statements of a client did not contain a clearcut indication of the character of the accountant's association
 and the degree of responsibility the accountant was taking. The
 circumstances are as follows:
 - A. Complainant hereby incorporates by reference paragraph 10 A through F.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

14. Respondents Charles R. Lewis and Hollander,
Freedman, Harrison & Fine are subject to disciplinary action
under Rule 58.3 (c) in that their review of financial statements
of a client did not include the performance of inquiry and
analytical procedures.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following said hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Certificate Number EH 6638, heretofore issued to respondent Charles R. Lewis;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Certificate Number PAR 4297, heretofore issued to respondent Hollander, Freedman, Harrison & Fine;

25 | Harrison & Fine

- 11	
1	 Taking such other and further action as the Board
2	deems proper.
3	DATED: 3/15/9/
4	
5	CardSiguen
6	Carol Sigmann Executive Officer
7	Board of Accountancy
8	Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
9	Complainant
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	